

$\boxed{5-1} \quad M, N, L, K := X$

$B_v : (\lambda x.M) v \rightarrow M[x \leftarrow v]$

$V, U :=$

$\lambda x.M$

$\lambda x.M$

$M \quad N$

$b$

$b$

$o^n M_1 \dots M_n$

$S : (o^n V_1 \dots V_n) \rightarrow S(o^n V_1, \dots, V_n)$

e.g.  $S(+, 5, 10) = 15$

$v = B_v \cup S$

$\text{eval}(M) = b \quad \text{if } M =_v b$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{fun} \quad \text{if } M =_v \lambda x.N$

Theorem: eval is a partial function.

$\rightarrow_v$  is the compatible closure of  $v$   
"perform  $v$  anywhere inside  $M$ "

$\Leftrightarrow \forall m. \forall b_1, b_2.$

$\text{eval}(m) = b_1$

$\wedge \text{eval}(m) = b_2$

$\Rightarrow b_1 = b_2$

"These two programs do the same thing."  
( $M$  and  $N$ )

$(\exists b. M \rightarrow_v b \text{ and } N \rightarrow_v b)$  then we miss functions

$(\lambda x.\underline{x})$  and  $(\lambda x(\underline{x} \underline{x}))$  "do the same thing"

$f$  and  $g$ ,  $f = g \Leftrightarrow \forall i. f(i) = g(i)$

$\forall I. (m \mid I) =_v (n \mid I) \Leftrightarrow m \text{ and } n \text{ fitst}$

A context  $\xrightarrow{\text{(syntactic)}}$   $= (\blacksquare \mid I)$

can be "plugged" (or "filled")  $(\blacksquare \mid I)[N] = (N \mid I)$

$C = \blacksquare \mid (\lambda x.C) \mid \underline{a}(C \mid N) \mid (M \mid C) \mid (o^n M_1 \dots C M_n \dots)$

$\rightarrow_v$  is defined as  $(\underline{c}, C[M]) \rightarrow_v C[N] \text{ iff } M \vdash N$

$((5+10)(\lambda x.x)6) = (\underline{((\lambda x.x)6)})[(5+10)] \quad 5+10 \vdash 15$

$(15(\lambda x.x)6) = \rightarrow_v (\underline{((\lambda x.x)6)})[15]$

Observational Equivalence ("Do the same thing")  $M \simeq_v N$

iff  $(\forall C. \text{eval}(C[M]) = \text{eval}_v(C[N]))$

refl, trans, sym

$M \simeq_v N \text{ then } \forall C. C[M] \simeq_v C[N]$

5-2 Optimizer replaces expressions with cheaper versions

L is a language

F is a feature

$\rightarrow$  F is another

language

imagine M

M takes 1

call to F in L+F

and translates it

to L.

Optimizer replaces expressions with cheaper versions  
int  $x = 3$ ;       $\Rightarrow$  ret 8;      (constant propagation)

int  $y = 5$ ;

ret  $x+y$ ;

~~(lambda~~

$\lambda x. x$

8

$\rightarrow$  8

$((\lambda x. (\lambda y. (x+y)) 5) 3)$

$(\lambda x. \square) \rightarrow \text{fun}$

$(\square (\lambda x. x)) \rightarrow \text{stuck}$

$((\lambda x. (x+1)) \square) \rightarrow 9$

$(10 + \square) \rightarrow 18$

Imagine the language P, with the feature "print"

$M := \dots | \text{print } M | \hat{M} \quad P: (\text{print } M) \rightarrow \hat{M}$

$M(P) \simeq P \quad \hat{M} := 'X | (\text{list } \lambda X \hat{M}) | (\hat{M} \hat{M})$

b.  $| (\text{list } \hat{M}) | (\text{list } \hat{M} \dots)$

meaning there's no context C := ... | print C

$(\forall m. \forall n. \quad M \simeq_p N \rightarrow M = N)$

$(\forall m. \forall n. \quad M \neq N \rightarrow M \neq_p N) \quad C = (\text{print } \square)$

to tell the translation

from the original).

Expressiveness

Imagine the language X, which is like assembly

$M := \text{movg } R, A | \text{addg } R, A | M ; M | \text{ret}$

$R := \text{rax} | \text{rbx} \quad A := \square | R \quad \hat{A}(n_a, n_b, \text{rbx}) = n_b$

$P := (n_a, n_b, m) \quad \hat{A}(n_a, n_b, n) = n$

$C := \square | C ; M | M ; C \quad \hat{A}(n_a, n_b, \text{rax}) = n_a$

$m_a: (n_a, n_b, \text{movg rax A}) \rightarrow (\hat{A}(n_a, n_b, A), n_b, \text{ret})$

$a_a: (n_a, n_b, \text{addg rax A}) \rightarrow (n_a + \hat{A}(n_a, n_b, A), n_b, \text{ret})$

$s: (n_a, n_b, (M_1 ; M_2)) \rightarrow (n'_a, n'_b, M_2)$

iff  $(n_a, n_b, M_1) \Rightarrow (n'_a, n'_b, \text{ret})$

$\text{eval}_X(M) = (n_a, n_b) \text{ iff } (0, 0, M) \Rightarrow (n_a, n_b, \text{ret})$